

APPLICANT SUMMARY OF SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

As set forth by the Examiner in the Office Action dated December 8, 2006, the Examiner conducted a telephonic interview with Adam D. Stevens (Reg. No. 58,577) on November 27, 2006. The summary of the interview as provided by the Examiner is correct, and Applicant adds the following details:

Applicant's attorney indicated to the Examiner that no changes were made to Figure 4 as submitted with the response dated June 9th, 2006.

Applicant's attorney also indicated to the Examiner that the Examiner's notice of non-compliant amendment was improper as the Examiner's requirement of a clean copy of the amended Specification is not a requirement of 37 C.F.R. 1.121, but is rather a preference of the Examiner to hasten eventual printing. The Examiner agreed to withdraw the notice of non-compliant amendment and Applicant's attorney agreed to provide, via facsimile, a clean copy of the amendments to the specification. A clean copy was provided that same day by facsimile.

This summary is timely as no written or other reply to an office action has otherwise been required or submitted. Therefore, this summary is included with the formal written reply to the last office action, as required by M.P.E.P. § 713.04.

As set forth by Applicant in the communication dated June 15, 2007 and by the Examiner in the Interview Summary dated June 27, 2007, Applicant's attorney, Adam D. Stevens also conducted a telephone communication with the Examiner on June 14, 2007, in which Applicant's attorney pointed out to the Examiner where the amendment is located in claim 18.